



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ybbrc



Spatially distinct functions of PAX6 and NKX2.2 during gliogenesis in the ventral spinal cord

Nicholas Genethliou^{a,b}, Elena Panayiotou^{a,b}, Helen Panayi^a, Michael Orford^a, Richard Mean^a, George Lapathitis^a, Stavros Malas^{a,*}

^aThe Cyprus Institute of Neurology and Genetics, Airport Avenue, No. 6, Agios Dometios 2370, Nicosia, Cyprus

^bDepartment of Biological Sciences, University of Cyprus, P.O. Box 20537, 1678 Nicosia, Cyprus

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 15 February 2009

Available online 1 March 2009

Keywords:

Pax6
Nkx2.2
Slit1
Sulfatase I
Sulfatase II
Oligodendrocytes
Astrocytes
Neural patterning

ABSTRACT

During ventral spinal cord (vSC) development, the p3 and pMN progenitor domain boundary is thought to be maintained by cross-repressive interactions between NKX2.2 and PAX6. Using loss-of-function analysis during the neuron–glial fate switch we show that the identity of the p3 domain is not maintained by the repressive function of NKX2.2 on Pax6 expression, even in the absence of NKX2.9. We further show that NKX2.2 is necessary to induce the expression of Slit1 and Sulfatase 1 (Sulf1) in the vSC in a PAX6-independent manner. Conversely, we show that PAX6 regulates Sulf1/Slit1 expression in the vSC in an NKX2.2/NKX6.1-independent manner. Consequently, deregulation of Sulf1 expression in Pax6-mutant embryos has stage-specific implications on neural patterning, associated with enhancement of Sonic Hedgehog activity. On the other hand, deregulation of Slit1 expression in gliogenic neural progenitors leads to changes in Astrocyte subtype identity. These data provide important insights into specific functions of PAX6 and NKX2.2 during glial cell specification that have so far remained largely unexplored.

© 2009 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

The ventral spinal cord (vSC) in mice is organized into five progenitor domains that generate different subtypes of neurons and glia [1]. The two most ventral progenitor domains, known as p3 and pMN, are defined by the specific expression of Nkx2.2 and Olig2 during neurogenesis [2]. The p3/pMN progenitor boundary is believed to be maintained by cross-repression between PAX6 and NKX2.2 homeodomain (HD) factors [3,4]. At the onset of the N/G switch, the pMN domain switches from generating motor-neurons to generate mostly Oligodendrocytes (OLs) and some Astrocytes (ASs) [5–8]. This process is preceded by a dorsal expansion of the p3 domain and a ventral retraction of the pMN domain [8–10]. In the chick embryo this boundary shift is characterized by a sharp increase of Sonic Hedgehog (SHH) protein in neuroepithelial cells located in the p3 domain [11,12]. The enzyme Sulfatase 1 (Sulf1) has been proposed to mediate this process since Sulf1 expression expands from the Floor Plate (FP) to the p3 domain [12]. The functional significance of this phenomenon remains unknown, despite the suggestion that it may regulate the specification of p3-derived OLs in the chick embryo [12]. The genetic regulation of Sulf1 expression is also unknown.

More recently the domain-specific organization of the vSC has been proposed to govern the positional specification of three different subtypes of ventral astrocytes (VA), named VA1–3 ASs [13], that express Reelin and Slit1. White matter (WM) VA1 ASs express only Reelin and are specified in Pax6⁺ progenitors located dorsal to the p2 domain. VA2 ASs express both Reelin and Slit1 and are specified in Nkx6.1/Pax6⁺ progenitors (pMN–p2) and VA3 ASs are specified in Nkx2.2/Nkx6.1⁺ (p3) progenitors and express only Slit1. It has been proposed that the combinatorial expression of PAX6 and NKX6.1 in ventricular zone (VZ) progenitors regulate the expression of both Reelin and Slit1 in the VZ and by doing so govern the subtype identity of the respective ASs [13]. Thus PAX6 induces Reelin and represses Slit1, while NKX6.1 (and NKX2.2) induce Slit1 and repress Reelin.

In this study, we considered NKX2.2 and PAX6 as primary candidates for regulating both Slit1 and Sulf1 in the vSC. We first show that under physiological *in vivo* conditions the proposed repressive function of NKX2.2 on Pax6 expression in the p3 domain is not supported by loss-of-function data even when NKX2.9 is switched off. This observation allowed us to establish a specific genetic requirement for NKX2.2 to initiate both Slit1 and Sulf1 expression in the vSC in a PAX6-independent manner. Conversely, we show that PAX6 has an NKX2.2/NKX6.1-independent function in regulating Sulf1/Slit1 expression in the pMN–p0 domains. Finally, we demonstrate that deregulation of Sulf1 and Slit1 expression has functional

* Corresponding author. Fax: +357 22 392641.

E-mail address: smalas@cing.ac.cy (S. Malas).

implications on the response of progenitors to SHH signaling and on AS subtype identity, respectively.

Materials and methods

Transgenic mice. The mouse mutant lines used were: Pax6^{Sey/Sey} [14] and Nkx2.2^{-KO} [15]. Genotyping was performed by PCR for Nkx2.2 and by morphological examination of the telencephalon and absence of eye development for Pax6^{Sey/Sey} embryos. All animal procedures were performed in accordance with a license issued by the Chief of Veterinary Services of the Republic of Cyprus, as stipulated by National Law.

In situ hybridization and immunohistochemistry. Embryos were fixed overnight in 4% (w/v) paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PB), cryo-protected overnight in 20% (w/v) sucrose in PB and sectioned on a cryostat (14 µm). In situ hybridization was performed as described [16,17]. The probes used were: Nkx2.2 and Olig2 (gift from Bill Richardson), Dbx1, Dbx2 (gift from Tom Jessell), Slit1 (gift from Marc Tessier-Lavigne), Pax6 (gift from Peter Gruss), Nkx2.9 (gift from Johan Ericson). The rest of the probes were generated by PCR amplification with cDNA-specific primers for the gene of interest, ligated in pGEM-T Easy (Promega) and amplified by directional PCR to generate the template for antisense probe synthesis (T7 end). More information on the sequence is available on request. All images were captured using an Olympus microscope (Olympus SZX12) and digital camera (Olympus DP70). For domain-specific comparisons of gene expression, in situ hybridisation was carried out on consecutive sections and images captured were photo-converted using Adobe Photoshop and aligned. Immunohistochemistry was performed using MEMFA-fixed embryos. The length of fixation was 20–40 min, depending on the stage and antibodies used. Sections were cut at cryostat (10–12 µm). Immunohistochemistry was performed according to standard procedures using the following primary antibodies: Shh, Nkx2.2, Nkx6.1, Pax3 (Hybridoma), Olig2 (Santa Cruz), Pax6 (Millipore). Images were captured on a TCSL confocal microscope (Leica, Germany).

Results

Temporal gene expression changes in the p3 and pMN domains

The neuron–glial (N/G) fate switch in the vSC in mice takes place between embryonic day 11.0 (e11.0) and e12.0 [18,19]. In agreement with previous data [8], we confirmed that between e10.5 and e12.5 the expression domain of Nkx2.2 expanded dorsally while the ventral limit of Olig2 and Pax6 retracted ventrally (not shown). Concomitant to this boundary shift, the expression of Sulf1 and Slit1 expanded from the FP in the vSC. Slit1 expression expanded beyond the pMN to reach the p2 domain as reported [13] while Sulf1 expanded to overlap partly with the ventral pMN (vpMN) domain (Supplementary Fig. 1A–D). At the same time Sulf2 expression, coding for an enzyme related to SULF1 [20], expanded to overlap with the p0 domain (Dbx1⁺) while Nkx2.9 expression was switched off from the p3 domain (Supplementary Fig. 1E–H). Consistent with a role of SULF1 in regulating the local concentration of SHH in the p3 domain in the chick [11,12], we detected enhanced accumulation of SHH protein in the p3 domain at e11.5 that was not evident at e9.5 (Supplementary Fig. 1I–J). At the same developmental window the expression of Patched 1 (Ptch1), providing readout for Shh signaling [21], expanded from the p3 domain to reach more than two thirds of the VZ, suggesting that neuroepithelial progenitors became more exposed to SHH at e11.5 than at e9.5 (Supplementary Fig. 1K and L). These data suggest that during the N/G fate switch the boundary shifts observed in the p3/pMN domains affecting Nkx2.2/Pax6/Olig2 expression,

are temporally associated with changes in the expression of Slit1, Sulf1 and Sulf2 and correlate with changes in the response of VZ progenitors to SHH activity.

Stage- and region-specific requirement for PAX6 and NKX2.2 to regulate the expression of Slit1 and Sulf1

We then asked how the expression of Sulf1/Sulf2 and Slit1 is genetically regulated. To test this we analyzed their expression in Pax6^{Sey/Sey} and Nkx2.2^{-/-} embryos. In both Nkx2.2^{-/-} and Pax6^{Sey/Sey} embryos analyzed at e10.5, Sulf1/2 and Slit1 were expressed in the FP like control embryos (not shown), suggesting that neither PAX6 nor NKX2.2 had any influence on their FP expression. However, at e12.5 in Pax6^{Sey/Sey} embryos the expression of both Slit1 and Sulf1 was up-regulated in the VZ and the dorsal limit of their expression reached the ventral limit of Pax3 expression (Fig. 1A–D). In Nkx2.2^{-/-} embryos both Slit1 and Sulf1 expression failed to expand in the VZ and were only expressed in the FP (Fig. 1E and F). One likely reason for this is the predicted ventral expansion of PAX6. However, Pax6 expression did not expand in the prospective p3 domain (Fig. 1G and H) despite the extinction of Nkx2.9 expression by this stage (Supplementary Fig. 1H). The expression of Sulf2 was not affected in any genetic background (not shown).

These data suggest that under physiological conditions in a stage- and region-specific manner, NKX2.2 controls the induction of Slit1 and Sulf1 expression in the vSC in a PAX6-independent manner. Conversely, loss of PAX6 led to co-extensive up-regulation of both Slit1/Sulf1 in the entire vSC despite the initial differences in their expression limits (Supplementary Fig. 2). They also suggest that, under physiological *in vivo* conditions, the proposed repressive function of NKX2.2 on Pax6 expression could not be corroborated by loss-of-function analysis at e12.5.

Stage-specific enhancement of Shh signaling in the absence of PAX6

Since SULF1 has been shown to promote accumulation of SHH in ectopic sites of expression [12], we wondered if the deregulation of Sulf1 expression in Nkx2.2^{-/-} and Pax6^{Sey/Sey} embryos had implications on Shh signaling and/or neural patterning. In Nkx2.2^{-/-} embryos neural patterning was normal except from the ventral expansion of Olig2 as predicted by the repressive effects of NKX2.2 on Olig2 expression (not shown) [2,22]. In Pax6^{Sey/Sey} embryos where both Sulf1 and Sulf2 were expressed in the p3–p0 region we found that at e10.5 Ptch1 expression did not change (Fig. 2A and B). However, at e12.5 we observed strong up-regulation of Ptch1 expression in the VZ of Pax6^{Sey/Sey} embryos (Fig. 2C and D). To examine the consequences on neural patterning we compared the expression pattern of four Class I and three Class II genes at e10.5 and e12.5. As expected, Nkx2.2 expression expanded dorsally at both stages in Pax6^{Sey/Sey} (Fig. 2E–H). The expansion of Nkx2.2 caused repression of Olig2 expression [2] (Fig. 2E–H) while Nkx6.1 expression did not change at any developmental stage (Fig. 2I–L). The analysis of the expression of Class I genes, which are directly repressed by SHH, was more informative. We first noted a dorsal shift of the ventral limit of Pax6 expression at e12.5. Importantly, this shift was not apparent at e10.5 despite the expansion of Nkx2.2 at both stages (Fig. 2M–P). Furthermore, Irx3 expression was reduced only at e12.5 despite the fact that Olig2 expression was almost abolished at both stages (Fig. 2Q–T) and loss of Olig2 should lead to ventral expansion of Irx3 in the pMN domain [8]. Finally, the expression of Dbx1 and Dbx2 was only reduced at e12.5, with Dbx2 being more affected than Dbx1 (Fig. 2U–Z).

These data suggest that the stage-specific expression changes of several Class I genes in Pax6^{Sey/Sey} embryos are likely to be due to the ventralization of p3–p0 progenitors brought about by expan-




Fig. 1. Domain-specific requirement for NKX2.2 and PAX6 to regulate Sulf1 and Slit1 expression. Note that the expression of Slit1 extends beyond that of Sulf1 (A,B) whereas both genes are expressed in the same manner in Pax6^{Sey/Sey} embryos (C,D; brackets). The precise dorsal limit of Slit1 in wt embryos has been reported to be the Nkx6.1 expression boundary [13]. Note the diminishing Slit1 expression in the domain dorsal to the Sulf1 boundary (brackets in B). In Nkx2.2^{-/-} embryos both Slit1/Sulf1 are only expressed in the floor plate (FP; E,F), whereas Pax6 expression does not expand in the p3 domain (G,H). Dotted lines indicate the limits of expression boundaries. In (G,H), the dotted lines indicate the prospective p3 domain.

sion of Sulf1 (and Sulf2) expression and consequent elevation of SHH activity. These gene expression changes argue for a direct effect of higher levels of SHH activity on neural progenitors rather than by changes in the expression pattern of homeodomain Class II factors with reported cross-repressive interactions with Class I factors [3,23].

Astrocyte subtype specification in Nkx2.2^{-/-} embryos

The loss of Slit1 expression from the VZ in Nkx2.2^{-/-} embryos prompted us to examine the consequences on Slit1 expression in the WM in spinal cords of e18.5 embryos, where it labels VA3 and VA2 ASs. Consistent with the fact that Pax6 expression does not expand ventrally in these embryos (Fig. 2), Reelin expression in the VZ, that depends on PAX6 function [13], did not change either at e13.5 (Supplementary Fig. 3A–D). However, the expression of Slit1 in the WM was drastically reduced (Supplementary Fig. 3E and F). The expression of NKX6.1 was normal in Nkx2.2^{-/-} embryos at both e10.5 and 12.5 (not shown; [4]) and the expression of the generic AS marker GFAP was also normal (Supplementary Fig. 3G and H) [22]. These data suggest that loss of Slit1 expression in the VZ had a direct impact on Slit1 expression in the WM. The fact that GFAP expression was normal suggests that AS subtype identity, as judged by Slit1 expression, was altered but AS specification per se was not affected. It is possible that the functional re-specification of the p3 domain to a 'pMN-like' domain, imparts this region with astrogenic capacity normally specific to the pMN domain [6].

Discussion

In this study we report four important observations. (1) That the genetic data do not support the view that NKX2.2 represses Pax6 expression in the p3 domain, even when Nkx2.9 is switched off. (2) That the induction of Slit1/Sulf1 expression in the vSC is solely regulated by NKX2.2, in a PAX6-independent. (3) That the domain-specific expression of Slit1/Sulf1 is primarily regulated by PAX6 and neither NKX2.2 nor NKX6.1 contributes to the deregulation of their expression in the absence of PAX6. (4) That deregulation

of Slit1 and Sulf1 expression has functional implications on AS differentiation and neural patterning.

What is preventing PAX6 expression from expanding in the p3 domain of Nkx2.2^{-/-} embryos? We were intrigued also by the fact that even at e10.5 in Pax6^{Sey/Sey} embryos the dorsal expansion of Nkx2.2 did not cause repression of Pax6 transcription (Fig. 2M and N) raising doubts if NKX2.2, under physiological conditions, is able to repress Pax6 at any stage. It is possible that Pax6 expression is directly repressed by Shh in the vSC and ectopic expression of NKX2.2 (even at a low level) in the chick embryo may induce Sulf1 expression, that is regulated by Nkx2.2 (this study), leading to an increase in the local concentration of SHH as shown [12] and direct repression of Pax6. In Nkx2.2^{-/-} embryos such mechanism could still be operational and mediated by SULF2 that is expressed normally and seems to have a redundant function with SULF1 in other systems [26].

In relation to the stage-specific induction of Slit1/Sulf1 in the vSC our findings support a context- and stage-dependent mechanism that directly implicates NKX2.2, in a PAX6-independent manner. Nkx2.2 and Nkx2.9 are both expressed in the p3 domain before Sulf1/Slit1 expression expands in the vSC (not shown). Once Nkx2.9 is switched off (around e11.5) Sulf1/Slit1 begin to be expressed dorsal to the FP. Despite the redundant function between NKX2.2 and NKX6.1 to induce Slit1 expression in the chick embryo, NKX6.1 cannot compensate for the loss of NKX2.2. Since NKX2.2 acts as a repressor and is believed to have a dominant function over NKX6.1 in the p3 domain [23], the requirement for NKX2.2 to activate both genes may be achieved via the de-repression of a repressor, a mechanism believed to operate in all progenitor domains in the vSC [3,23]. OLIG2, is an unlikely repressor in this context since there is overlap between Slit1/Sulf1/Olig2 in the pMN domain.

The differential dorsal expansion of Slit1 (p3–p2) and Sulf1 (p3–vpMN) may suggest different mechanisms for their regulation. In the case of Slit1, despite the repressive effect of PAX6 on Slit1 expression [13], the physiological level of its expression may be determined by the dose of NKX2.2/NKX6.1. However, in the absence of PAX6, the expansion of NKX2.2 expression does not reach the ventral limit of PAX3, like Slit1 and Sulf1 do. Thus, it is most




Fig. 2. Stage-specific changes in neural patterning in Pax6^{Sey/Sey} embryos. Comparative expression patterns of Class I and Class II genes in Pax6^{Sey/Sey} embryonic spinal cords between e10.5 and e12.5. Note the strong up-regulation of Ptch1 in Pax6^{Sey/Sey} in the entire VZ specifically at e12.5. At e12.5 Nkx2.2⁺ cells dorsal to the pMN are located in peri-ventricular location (asterisks represent the p2–VZ). Olig2 expression is almost lost at both stages (E–H) and Nkx6.1 was not affected (I–L). Note that at e10.5 Pax6 expression does not change while at e12.5 it shifts slightly dorsally (M–P). Irx3 expression is attenuated only at e12.5 (S,T) and Dbx2 expression is almost lost at e12.5 (Z1,Z2) and Dbx1 expression is weaker only at e12.5 (W,X). Bars indicate boundary shifts. Brackets in (D) indicate the expanded Ptch1 expression.

likely the absence of PAX6 that caused the deregulation of Slit1/Slit1 expression in the vSC of Pax6^{Sey/Sey} embryos. In the pMN–p0 domain PAX6 regulates the expression of the Wnt inhibitor SFRP2 [24] and the transcription factor SOX1 expression. However, neither factor mediates the domain-specific regulation of Slit1/Sulf1 by PAX6 (SM; unpublished data).

Finally, we show that the deregulation of Slit1 and Sulf1 have functional implications. In the case of Sulf1 we find that in Pax6^{Sey/Sey} embryos Shh signaling is enhanced leading to stage-specific changes in the expression of Class I genes (Shh-repressed). These gene expression changes were stage-specific and were not associated with changes in Class II genes, with reported cross-repressive activities with Class I factors. In Nkx2.2^{−/−} embryos, despite the loss of Sulf1 expression in the VZ, neural patterning is maintained correctly, most likely due to the normal expression of Sulf2 and the redundant function between SULF1/2 [26]. One function of PAX6 is to repress Sulf1 expression beyond the p3 domain. In retrospect, this seems important for maintaining the correct functional output of the pMN domain during gliogenesis. Expansion of Sulf1 across the pMN domain most likely will enhance Shh signaling [12], induce further expansion of Nkx2.2⁺ domain leading to more repression of Olig2 expression and reduction in OL specification. This is probably why in Pax6^{Sey/Sey} embryos the origin of pMN-derived OLs shifts dorsally and the initial production of OLs is severely reduced [25]. In the case of Slit1 we show that, in agreement with previous findings, deregulation of Slit1 expression affects the expression of Slit1 in WM ASs [13]. In Nkx2.2^{−/−} embryos the VZ expression of Slit1 directly correlates with Slit1 expression in WM ASs. Likewise in Pax6^{Sey/Sey} spinal cords all ventral ASs acquire a VA3 (Slit1⁺) subtype [13] (not shown) and this is reflected in the changes of Slit1⁺ expression in the VZ. Thus our data agree with the proposition that the specification of VAs take place in the VZ but we find that our data support a mechanism that primarily implicates NKX2.2 in the induction of Slit1 expression in the VZ, that is not antagonized, cell-autonomously, by PAX6. They also suggest that the gliogenic neuroepithelium is divided up to specific domains of Sulf1 and Sulf2 expression and NKX2.2 and PAX6 regulate some aspects of this organization.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Tom Jessell for in situ probes, Dr. Johan Ericson and Dr. Anastasia Stoykova for the mice and Georgia Philippou for the excellent animal care. This work was supported by grants to S.M. (FP5; Acronym: Stembridge; RPF of Cyprus).

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2009.02.134.

References

- [1] T.M. Jessell, Neuronal specification in the spinal cord: inductive signals and transcriptional codes, *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 1 (2000) 20–29.
- [2] B.G. Novitsch, A.I. Chen, T.M. Jessell, Coordinate regulation of motor neuron subtype identity and pan-neuronal properties by the bHLH repressor Olig2, *Neuron* 31 (2001) 773–789.
- [3] J. Muhr, E. Andersson, M. Persson, T.M. Jessell, J. Ericson, Groucho-mediated transcriptional repression establishes progenitor cell pattern and neuronal fate in the ventral neural tube, *Cell* 104 (2001) 861–873.
- [4] J. Briscoe, L. Sussel, P. Serup, D. Hartigan-O'Connor, T.M. Jessell, J.L. Rubenstein, J. Ericson, Homeobox gene Nkx2.2 and specification of neuronal identity by graded Sonic hedgehog signalling, *Nature* 398 (1999) 622–627.
- [5] Q.R. Lu, T. Sun, Z. Zhu, N. Ma, M. Garcia, C.D. Stiles, D.H. Rowitch, Common developmental requirement for Olig function indicates a motor neuron/oligodendrocyte connection, *Cell* 109 (2002) 75–86.
- [6] N. Masahira, H. Takebayashi, K. Ono, K. Watanabe, L. Ding, M. Furusho, Y. Ogawa, Y. Nabeshima, A. Alvarez-Buylla, K. Shimizu, K. Ikenaka, Olig2-positive

- progenitors in the embryonic spinal cord give rise not only to motoneurons and oligodendrocytes, but also to a subset of astrocytes and ependymal cells, *Dev. Biol.* 293 (2006) 358–369.
- [7] N.P. Pringle, W.D. Richardson, A singularity of PDGF alpha-receptor expression in the dorsoventral axis of the neural tube may define the origin of the oligodendrocyte lineage, *Development* 117 (1993) 525–533.
 - [8] Q. Zhou, D.J. Anderson, The bHLH transcription factors OLIG2 and OLIG1 couple neuronal and glial subtype specification, *Cell* 109 (2002) 61–73.
 - [9] E. Agius, C. Soukkarieh, C. Danesin, P. Kan, H. Takebayashi, C. Soula, P. Cochard, Converse control of oligodendrocyte and astrocyte lineage development by Sonic hedgehog in the chick spinal cord, *Dev. Biol.* 270 (2004) 308–321.
 - [10] H. Fu, Y. Qi, M. Tan, J. Cai, H. Takebayashi, M. Nakafuku, W. Richardson, M. Qiu, Dual origin of spinal oligodendrocyte progenitors and evidence for the cooperative role of Olig2 and Nkx2.2 in the control of oligodendrocyte differentiation, *Development* 129 (2002) 681–693.
 - [11] C. Braquart-Varnier, C. Danesin, C. Cloucard-Martinato, E. Agius, N. Escalas, B. Benazeraf, X. Ai, C. Emerson, P. Cochard, C. Soula, A subtractive approach to characterize genes with regionalized expression in the gliogenic ventral neuroepithelium: identification of chick sulfatase 1 as a new oligodendrocyte lineage gene, *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* 25 (2004) 612–628.
 - [12] C. Danesin, E. Agius, N. Escalas, X. Ai, C. Emerson, P. Cochard, C. Soula, Ventral neural progenitors switch toward an oligodendroglial fate in response to increased Sonic hedgehog (Shh) activity: involvement of Sulfatase 1 in modulating Shh signaling in the ventral spinal cord, *J. Neurosci.* 26 (2006) 5037–5048.
 - [13] C. Hochstim, B. Deneen, A. Lukaszewicz, Q. Zhou, D.J. Anderson, Identification of positionally distinct astrocyte subtypes whose identities are specified by a homeodomain code, *Cell* 133 (2008) 510–522.
 - [14] R.E. Hill, J. Favor, B.L. Hogan, C.C. Ton, G.F. Saunders, I.M. Hanson, J. Prosser, T. Jordan, N.D. Hastie, V. van Heyningen, Mouse small eye results from mutations in a paired-like homeobox-containing gene, *Nature* 354 (1991) 522–525.
 - [15] L. Sussel, J. Kalamaras, D.J. Hartigan-O'Connor, J.J. Meneses, R.A. Pedersen, J.L. Rubenstein, M.S. German, Mice lacking the homeodomain transcription factor Nkx2.2 have diabetes due to arrested differentiation of pancreatic beta cells, *Development* 125 (1998) 2213–2221.
 - [16] M. Fruttiger, L. Karlsson, A.C. Hall, A. Abramsson, A.R. Calver, H. Bostrom, K. Willetts, C.H. Bertold, J.K. Heath, C. Betsholtz, W.D. Richardson, Defective oligodendrocyte development and severe hypomyelination in PDGF-A knockout mice, *Development* 126 (1999) 457–467.
 - [17] N.P. Pringle, W.P. Yu, S. Guthrie, H. Roelink, A. Lumsden, A.C. Peterson, W.D. Richardson, Determination of neuroepithelial cell fate: induction of the oligodendrocyte lineage by ventral midline cells and sonic hedgehog, *Dev. Biol.* 177 (1996) 30–42.
 - [18] B. Deneen, R. Ho, A. Lukaszewicz, C.J. Hochstim, R.M. Gronostajski, D.J. Anderson, The transcription factor NFIA controls the onset of gliogenesis in the developing spinal cord, *Neuron* 52 (2006) 953–968.
 - [19] Y. Ogawa, H. Takebayashi, M. Takahashi, N. Osumi, Y. Iwasaki, K. Ikenaka, Gliogenic radial glial cells show heterogeneity in the developing mouse spinal cord, *Dev. Neurosci.* 27 (2005) 364–377.
 - [20] D.H. Lum, J. Tan, S.D. Rosen, Z. Werb, Gene trap disruption of the mouse heparan sulfate 6-O-endosulfatase gene, *Sulf2*, *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 27 (2007) 678–688.
 - [21] L.V. Goodrich, R.L. Johnson, L. Milenkovic, J.A. McMahon, M.P. Scott, Conservation of the hedgehog/patched signaling pathway from flies to mice: induction of a mouse patched gene by Hedgehog, *Genes Dev.* 10 (1996) 301–312.
 - [22] Y. Qi, J. Cai, Y. Wu, R. Wu, J. Lee, H. Fu, M. Rao, L. Sussel, J. Rubenstein, M. Qiu, Control of oligodendrocyte differentiation by the Nkx2.2 homeodomain transcription factor, *Development* 128 (2001) 2723–2733.
 - [23] J. Briscoe, A. Pierani, T.M. Jessell, J. Ericson, A homeodomain protein code specifies progenitor cell identity and neuronal fate in the ventral neural tube, *Cell* 101 (2000) 435–445.
 - [24] Q. Lei, Y. Jeong, K. Misra, S. Li, A.K. Zelman, D.J. Epstein, M.P. Matise, Wnt signaling inhibitors regulate the transcriptional response to morphogenetic Shh-Gli signaling in the neural tube, *Dev. Cell* 11 (2006) 325–337.
 - [25] T. Sun, N.P. Pringle, A.P. Hardy, W.D. Richardson, H.K. Smith, Pax6 influences the time and site of origin of glial precursors in the ventral neural tube, *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* 12 (1998) 228–239.
 - [26] X. Ai, T. Kitazawa, A.T. Do, M. Kusche-Gullberg, P.A. Labosky, C.P. Emerson Jr., SULF1 and SULF2 regulate heparan sulfate-mediated GDNF signaling for esophageal innervation, *Development* 134 (2007) 3327–3338.